NEW JERSEY MILITIA NEWSLETTER

Volume XVII, Issue No. 3 September 2011

All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.

- Article 1, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

Why Islam is taking over America

by Martel Sobieskey
Beware America, I bring you
news of your own death; "a pale shroud
laid out by your own hand".

IDIOTICALLY, you have given religion status to an enemy invader called Islam; thereby egregiously violating the foremost principles of national security, sovereignty and warfare: An enemy invader must never (never) be classified as a religion.

Doing so is equivalent to a mad doctor injecting deadly cancer cells into a healthy patient. Look how Muslims are "shoving mosques down our throat" even at the hallowed "ground zero" of 9/11. Why? Islam is "programmed" to bring down America. M.A. Khan in his excellently informative book, *Islamic Jihad: A Legacy of Forced Conversion, Imperialism and Slavery* states: "the perpetuation of a global Islamic rule for eternity is the ultimate goal of Allah."

Perhaps this is why Dr. Wafa Sultan has named her book *A GOD WHO HATES* emphasizing Islam's God (Allah) hates all things non-Muslim and utilizes this hatred to fuel the fervor for global Islamic rule. Needless to say, any ideology with a bigoted, hateful and murderous God should be prohibited religion status in all civilized nations. Please see my article, "Demoting Islam's Religion Status" for further clarification.

Islam cannot sustain itself without devouring other nations and ideologies. This is a fact -- not an opinion -- 1400 years of Islamic history prove the case a million times over. Steven Emerson's Investigative Project on Terrorism gives hundreds of up to

date examples verifying the dubious nature of Allah's minions.

The so-called experts are "bloody fools" who cannot distinguish between a wooden nickel and a gold coin, and there are legions of them infesting our universities, the media, the government agencies.

Verifying this epidemic of incompetence and dereliction of duty is the book entitled: Sharia The Threat To America: An Exercise In Competitive Analysis: Report Of Team B II, it states: "This information is not even being taught at the basic level to FBI counterterrorism agents and analysts, nor is it taught at the Justice Department, Department of Homeland Security, The State or Defense Departments or the CIA . . . The challenge is not just the inability to distinguish friend from foe. Rather, it is an unwillingness to do so."

Returning to our medical analogy, this means that "physicians" paid to treat "cancer" are not allowed studying anything about "cancer" or even mentioning the name.

There is no doubt that Islam is an enemy invader. Its Koran shouts it, its prophet shouts it, its Imams shout it, its 1400 years malevolent history shouts it, its key texts shout it, its student organizations "shout it", its refusal to assimilate in governments worldwide shouts it, and its bellicose and bigoted demands for Sharia shout it!

In his insightful article, "What Is Islam" Amil Amani cuts to the crux of the matter by voicing a similar opinion: "Islam is not a religion, but a harmful thorn; thus, we must make laws to fight this unwanted thorn growing like mushrooms in our backyards . . . Islam is not a religion by any standards. It is a militant, political and savage cult

created by one man: Muhammad. It is time that we treat Islam as the greatest threat to the human race. . . Islam is a comprehensive totalitarian form of slavery, it is the opposite of freedom."

Rebecca Bynum in her book, Allah Is Dead: Why Islam Is Not a Religion states a similar theme: "Islam is a recipe for perpetual war . . . If however, Islam continues to be classified as a religion and given the full protection and benefits religions receive in America, then we will be helpless to contain it . . . That is why Islam cannot remain in the religion category . . . "

Under the cover of a religion Islam has been given a free pass to sabotage America from within, and has made enormous progress since 9/11, increasing geometrically, becoming more pugnacious, belligerent and demanding.

Craig Winn in PROPHET OF DOOM has attempted to wake us up by declaring: "The Qur'an condemns all non-Muslims -- Christians and Jews and those who worship many gods and no gods. It is an equal opportunity hater." Our homegrown America born Jihadist, the "Fort Hood Shooter", Major Nadal Malik Hassan proved he was a good and devout Muslim by murdering 13 and wounding 32 unarmed innocent colleagues inspired by his hateful God Allah.

Paraphrasing the wisdom of Winston Churchill, "Islam is the most retrograde of forces."

Once something has been labeled a religion, no American will question, challenge or think objectively about it. The greatest military power in world history lays supine, lily livered, gutless and enfeebled, and it's all because we have wrongly given Islam the protected status of a RELIGION.

There is no doubt that we Americans suffer from a collective psychological disorder, precipitated every time we hear the word religion. Here is the childishly insane logic Americans apply to their criteria for a religion: Apple juice is a liquid and drinking a cup is good for you; therefore drinking a cup of hemlock must be good for you because it is also a liquid.

The absurd conclusion is that drinking a cup of anything liquid is good for you whether it be apple juice or poison. Just hang out a sign with the word religion on it and Americans will instantly "drink up" any poison you feed them.

I am harping on this point because the wall of denial is extremely thick, marked by an "epidemic" of shallow mindedness, apathy, flagrant intellectual dishonesty and rampant monetary corruption; the whole quagmire tainted by Middle East oil money engulfing our entire military industrial complex, intelligence community, political leadership, media, academic and religious communities.

The best thing all Americans can do is tell the truth that Islam is an enemy invader -- not a religion. Doing otherwise is equivalent to "cutting the throat" of America, which our leaders and citizenry do on a daily basis, while "sitting up" for Muslim oil money like puppies doing tricks for doggie biscuits.

In *The Art of War* translated by John Minford, Sun Tzu remarks, "He who knows neither self nor enemy will fail in every battle." Today America knows neither self nor enemy and stands as helpless as a kitten against the onslaught of Islam's ideological offensive.

One thing is certain, every Imam in the United States is putting on a smiling face and speaking in a sickeningly sweet voice while touting the immaculate splendors of Islam, as they guide their flock to infiltrate and trash this nation" by hook or by crook" as emphatically commanded by the Koran which is irrefutably a manual of war and blood thirsty totalitarian conquest rather than a sacred and holy book.

-- Martel Sobieskey has 40 years research experience in the field of religious conditioning and its relationship to warfare, and is greatly alarmed by our leadership's failure to recognize the deeply entrenched Jihadist conditioning inherent in all of Islam, moderates included. http://nationalwriterssyndicate.com/cont ent/view/2593/2/

Multi-culturalism, Canada style

I learned firsthand on Sunday that a fist in the face doesn't necessarily constitute assault in our increasingly culturally sensitive Toronto.

The facts: I was in Yonge-Dongas Square with my nine year-old son taking pictures of this neon shrine.

Suddenly a woman wearing a hijab ran toward me screaming, "We are Muslims! You do not take pictures of us!" (Odd, I can't find the "no photos" rule in the Qu'ran.)

I informed the lady that I was in a public square in a democracy. I can actually take pictures of whomever I please.

And then: Ka-pow! Her fist collided with my face, and then my son and I were surrounded by a mob of about 20 people, many of whom were speaking Arabic. One kept demanding that I surrender my camera to him.

It was surreal - was I in Toronto or Riyadh?

I burst through the mob with my son to a group of bicycle-mounted police officers, telling them what had happened and that I wanted to press assault charges

A 50-something couple originally from Syria came forward and told the police they had observed the entire affair and that my allegations were true. The couple said they understood Arabic and knew what the mob was saying.

After the officer took my statement he went over to the offending woman. Another constable was inexplicably miffed that I was (legally) taking photos in the first place.

The cop walked back to me. No charges would be laid, he said, because he believed the woman's story - namely, that she was merely trying to knock the camera out of my hands.

Got that? Apparently, attempted property damage is OK. If a face gets in the way of a would-be vandalizing face, hey accidents happen.

The Syrian eyewitnesses were speechless. I continued to plead my case.

Toronto police cruisers are emblazoned with the slogan "To serve and protect." But increasingly the unofficial slogan seems to be "F.I.D.O." ("Forget It; Drive On.")

The fact we have Islamists living amongst us who despise Western values isn't news. But surely you can't just sock someone in the mouth.

Well, apparently you can - as long as the intent of the aggressor was merely to inflict property damage.

World's upside-down. Just thought you should know.

-- David Menzies, a Toronto freelance writer. Toronto Sun, Aug. 3, 2011

How Libyan rebels bought a drone on the Internet

In June, members of the Libyan National Transition Council were "searching the Web," the New York Times reports, where they found information about a surveillance drone-"essentially a tiny four-rotor helicopter dangling a pod carrying stabilized-image day-and night-vision cameras"--made by Aeryon Labs of Waterloo, Ontario.

Unlike the U.S. Predator drones, which are equipped with sophisticated weapons systems that can strike suspected terrorist hideouts, the Aeryon Scout miniature unmanned aerial drone has no weapons system. It can be launched from, say, the top of a car. It is basically a flying, pilotless camera weighing about 3 pounds. It can also only fly about 2 miles.

The cost? About \$100,000 to \$200,000, said Charles Barlow, president of Zariba Security of Ottawa, Canada, "but it depends a lot" on the situation, he added, including how many the customer is buying, and whether it has thermal cameras, which are able to see at night.

Once Canada recognized the rebels as the official Libyan government, no legal obstacles remained.

So in July, Barlow embarked on an 18-hour voyage from Malta to the Libyan port of Misrata where he spent two days teaching a team of Libyan rebels how to use the drone.

Will the Libyan opposition be placing more orders for drones? "Now that the rebels have basically won," Barlow said, "they've got more important priorities: like rebuilding hospitals."

-- Laura Rosen, The Envoy, Aug. 25, 2011

Appeals court OKs filming of government officials on duty

The Federal First Circuit Court of Appeals, which covers New England, reached a crucial decision August 26, 2011, allowing the public to videotape police officers while they're on the clock.

The decision comes after a string of incidents where individuals have videotaped police officers and were arrested.

One instance involved Khaliah Fitchette, a law-abiding teenager, when she boarded a bus in Newark, New

Jersey. Two police officers boarded the bus as well to remove a drunken man. Fitchette began taping the police officers because of how they were handling the man, and a police officer instructed her to stop recording them. When Fitchette refused, she was arrested and placed in the back of a cop car for two hours while the officers took her phone to delete the video. Fitchette was then released, but she and her mother filed suit against the Newark Police Department with the New Jersey chapter of the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU).

"The filming of government officials engaged in their duties in a public place, including police officers performing their responsibilities, fits comfortably within these principles [of protected First Amendment activity]," said the Court. "Gathering information about government officials in a form that can readily be disseminated to others serves a cardinal First Amendment interest in protecting and promoting the free discussion of governmental affairs." -- Daily Tech, "a leading online magazine"

Another meaningless Brady scorecard

It's that time of year again – when the Brady Campaign releases its annual scores and rankings, lavishing praise on state governments that infringe upon the rights of their residents, and scorn on those that respect the Constitution.

As it has since 1997 the Brady Campaign assigns points to a state based on whether it has adopted a specific type of gun control law. The group does not try to measure the effectiveness of any of these laws when assigning points and grades, instead implying that simply having laws restricting the Right to Keep and Bear Arms somehow makes a state better and safer. This, of course, is a false Measuring restrictive laws premise. simply for having restrictive laws only assesses a state government's lack of respect for the rights of its citizenry.

This "more is better" gun law fallacy is evidenced when the Brady Campaign gives states positive points for restricting the Right to Carry and negative points to those states that don't require permits. Today, 37 states have "shall issue" permit systems and as of July 1, 2011 three of those states will also allow for permitless carry (as does Vermont, which has no permit system). This has resulted in an estimated 6 million Americans exercising this right.

Yet with all of these "guns in public places," in 2009 the country

experienced a 39-year low in its violent crime and a 45-year low in its murder rate, according to the FBI. These historic lows also occurred in the absence of a federal "assault weapons" ban, a law for which the Brady Campaign awards yet more points at the state level.

When assigning rankings the Brady Campaign's delusions prove even more foolish. California earned the organization's only four star rating. Conversely, Utah and Arizona, scolded as "Do-Nothing" states, received no stars for restrictive gun measures.

Someone must have forgotten to alert California's criminals to this prestigious honor, as the FBI Uniform Crime Report shows that the violent crime rate in Arizona and Utah are 13-and 55- percent lower, respectively, than those in California.

-- America's First Freedom, July 2011

U.N. peacekeepers traded food for sex

United Nations peacekeepers in Ivory Coast enticed underage girls in the West African nation to exchange sex for food, according to a U.S. Embassy cable released by WikiLeaks.

The cable written in January 2010 focuses on the behavior of Beninese peacekeepers stationed in the western town of Toulepleu, an area that has been at the crosshairs of the nation's 10-year-long conflict.

A random poll of 10 underage girls in Toulepleu by aid group Save The Children U.K. in 2009 found that eight performed sexual acts for Benin peacekeepers on a regular basis in order to secure their most basic needs. "Eight of the 10 said they had ongoing sexual relationships with Beninese soldiers in exchange for food or lodging," the diplomat wrote in the cable, citing information shared with the embassy by a protection officer.

On Tuesday, U.N. spokesman Michel Bonnardeaux confirmed that in April, 16 Beninese peacekeepers were repatriated to Benin and are barred from serving in the U.N. following a yearlong investigation

"We see it as a command and control problem," said Bonnardeaux who spoke by telephone from New York. Of the 16, 10 were commanders and the rest were soldiers.

Sexual misconduct by U.N. troops has been reported in a number of countries including Congo, Cambodia and Haiti - as well as in an earlier incident involving Moroccan peacekeepers in Ivory Coast.

In 2007, a 730-strong battalion of peacekeepers from Morocco was asked to suspend its activities in the northern Ivorian city of Bouake after the U.N. received allegations of sexual misconduct involving local girls.

A report published a year later by Save the Children U.K. identified lvory Coast as one of the places where sexual barter between peacekeepers and girls was occurring. The peacekeepers traded food as well as mobile phones for sex.

The recently released cable identifies for the first time the Benin peacekeeping contingent.

Parents were encouraging their daughters to sleep with the peacekeepers so they would provide for to the them, according cable. Bonnardeaux said that 42 allegations of sexual abuse by U.N. staff in Ivory Coast have been reported since 2007. Sixteen involved minors. None have been reported yet this year, according to U.N. records.

-- Laura Burke, AP, 17 August 2011 Commentary, by a blogger named punk: they barred these child abusers?? that's all? it's not a crime to abuse kids anymore? this is the UN with their human rights and all?

Did Pres. Obama Authorize Gun Running into Mexico?

Questions about President Barack Obama just do not seem to go away. Will Obama and his Attorney General Eric Holder be implicated in potential criminal activity in Operation Fast and Furious?

It appears that a part of this Operation included efforts by the Obama Administration to allow more than 1,000 guns to be illegally smuggled into Mexico?

Was it the intent of the Administration to escalate gun violence caused by the Mexican drug cartels, then trace the guns used in the violence to gun shops in the U.S., and ultimately use this as an excuse to infringe on legal gun sales and constitutionally guaranteed gun ownership in the U.S?

Some who have been involved with Operation Fast and Furious have been forced to resign and others have been reassigned. This has not satisfied Representative Darrell Issa, who led the congressional investigation into this matter.

"While the reckless disregard for safety that took place in Operation Fast and Furious certainly merits changes within the Department of Justice, the Oversight and Government Reform Committee will continue its investigation to ensure that blame isn't offloaded on just a few individuals for a matter that involved much higher levels of the Justice Department," Issa said in a statement.

The Heritage Foundation wrote, "In June, President Obama said, "My Attorney General has made clear that he wouldn't have ordered gun running into Mexico. . . That would not be an appropriate step by the ATF." Press secretary Jay Carney previously said that the President "did not know about or authorize this operation."

But as Heritage's Rory Cooper wrote, "If that's the case, how could neither he nor Attorney General Eric Holder not know about an operation that everyone else at the Department of Justice seemed to be actively involved in, including the Assistant Attorney General, U.S. Attorney and head of the ATF?"

The ATF sold guns to criminals in Mexico, a life has been taken, and crimes have been committed with the weapons that were trafficked by the federal government.

If the trail leads all the way to Oval Office, what are the the implications? Putting guns into the hands of criminals is a "high" crime that injures our country, which according to our Constitution is an impeachable offense: "The President, Vice President and all civil Officers of the United States. shall be removed from Office on Impeachment for, and Conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors." (Article II. Section 4. The Constitution of the United States) -- Lubbock Avalanche-Journal Online. Blogs/Mr. Conservative Sept. 5, 2011

ATF promotes supervisors in controversial gun operation

The ATF has promoted three key supervisors of a controversial sting operation that allowed firearms to be illegally trafficked across the U.S. border into Mexico.

All three have been heavily criticized for pushing the program forward even as it became apparent that it was out of control. At least 2,000 guns were lost and many turned up at crime scenes in Mexico and two at the killing of a U.S. Border Patrol agent in Arizona.

The three supervisors have been given new management positions at the agency's headquarters in Washington. They are William G. McMahon, who was the ATF's deputy director of operations in the West, where the illegal trafficking program was focused, and William D. Newell and David Voth, both field supervisors who

oversaw the program out of the agency's Phoenix office.

-- Los Angeles Times, Aug. 16, 2011

Firearms from ATF sting linked to 11 more violent crimes

Firearms from the ATF's Operation Fast and Furious weapons trafficking investigation turned up at the scenes of at least 11 violent crimes in the U.S., as well as at a Border Patrol agent's slaying in Arizona last year, the Justice Department has acknowledged to Congress.

The Times has learned that the crimes occurred in several Arizona cities, including Phoenix, where Fast and Furious was managed, as well as in El Paso, where a total of 42 weapons from the operation were seized.

The new numbers, which expand the scope of the danger the program posed to U.S. citizens over a 14-month period, are contained in a letter that Assistant Atty. Gen. Ronald Weich turned over to the Senate Judiciary Committee last month.

The program was intended to identify Mexican drug cartel leaders and smuggling routes across the border by allowing illegal purchases of firearms and tracking the weapons. Instead, many of the guns vanished.

A source close to the controversy, who spoke on condition of anonymity because of the continuing investigation, said that as early as January 2010, just after the operation began, weapons had turned up at crime scenes in Phoenix, Nogales, Douglas and Glendale in Arizona, and in El Paso. The largest haul was 40 weapons at one crime scene in El Paso.

In all, 57 of the operation's weapons were recovered at those six crime scenes, in addition to the two seized where Border Patrol Agent Brian Terry was killed.

Weich's letter to Congress also said a total of 1,418 firearms were circulated under the program. How many remain missing in the U.S. and Mexico is unclear. The total is considerably lower than earlier estimates, when authorities said that at least 2,000 guns had vanished.

-- Los Angeles Times, Aug. 17, 2011

IRS refunded \$4.2 billion to illegals in 2010

People who don't have Social Security numbers because they are not authorized to work in the U.S. can get individual tax ID numbers from the IRS so they can file returns and make payments. The law requires IRS to

deny the earned income credit to these filers, but it is silent about the child credit, which, like the earned income credit, is refundable. So until Congress changes the law to deny the child credit to ITIN filers, IRS will keep refunding excess credits to them.

-- The Kiplinger Tax Letter, Vol. 86, No. 19, Sept. 16, 2011

Were American Indians Really Environmentalists?

By Thomas E. Woods, Jr.

In his 1992 book *Earth in the Balance*, then-Senator Al Gore cited a nineteenth-century speech from Chief Seattle, patriarch of the Duwamish and Suquamish Indians of Puget Sound, as evidence of the Indians' concern for nature. This speech, which speaks of absolutely everything in the natural world, including every last insect and pine needle, as being sacred to Seattle and his people, has been made to bear an unusually heavy share of the burden in depicting the American Indians as the first environmentalists.

The trouble for Gore is that the version of the speech he cites is a fabrication, drawn up in the early 1970s by screenwriter Ted Perry. (Perry, to his credit, has tried without success to let people know that he made up the speech.) Still, it became the basis for *Brother Eagle, Sister Sky*, a children's book that reached number five on the *New York Times* bestseller list in 1992.

Experts say that the intention of Chief Seattle is clear enough, and that it wasn't to say that every created thing, sentient and non-sentient, was "holy" to his people, or that all land everywhere had an equal claim upon their affection. "Seattle's speech was made as part of an argument for the right of the Suquamish and Duamish peoples to continue to visit their traditional burial grounds following the sale of that land to white settlers," explains Muhlenburg College's William Abruzzi. "This specific land was sacred to Seattle and his people because his ancestors were buried there, not because land as an abstract concept was sacred to all Indians." Writing in the American Indian Quarterly, Denise Low likewise explains that "the lavish descriptions of nature are secondary" to the purpose of Chief Seattle's argument, and that he was saying only that "land is sacred because of religious ties to ancestors."

Environmentalists who have cultivated the myth of the environmental Indian who left his surroundings in exquisitely pristine condition out of a deeply spiritual devotion to the natural

world have done so not out of any particular interest in American Indians. Instead, the intent is to showcase the environmentalist Indian for propaganda purposes and to use him as a foil against industrial society.

The Indians' real record on the environment was actually mixed, and I give the details in my new book, 33 Questions About American History You're Not Supposed to Ask. Among other things, they engaged in slash-and-burn agriculture, destroyed forests and grasslands, and wiped out entire animal populations (on the assumption that animals felled in a hunt would be reanimated in even larger numbers).

On the other hand, the Indians often succeeded in being good stewards of the environment — but not in the way people generally suppose.

Although we often hear that the Indians knew nothing of private property, their actual views of property varied across time, place, and tribe. In some tribes, family- and clan-based groups were assigned exclusive areas for hunting, which meant they had a vested interest in not overhunting, and making sure enough animals remained to reproduce for future years. In the Pacific Northwest, Indians assigned exclusive fishing rights that vielded a similar kind of stewardship: instead of catching all the salmon, some were left behind with an eye to the future. Whites who later established control over salmon resources unfortunately neglected this important Indian lesson.

Indians have not always recalled that lesson themselves. Consider the Arapahoes and Shoshones on Wyoming's Wind River Reservation, who in recent years (and with the help of all-terrain vehicles and high-powered rifles) have all but wiped out entire animal populations. Whatever happened to their spiritual kinship with nature?

In fact, this is the predictable result when wildlife is said to belong to everyone. There is no incentive to preserve any stocks for the future, since anything you might leave behind will simply be killed by someone else. Without property rights in hunting, there is no way (and no incentive) for anyone to prevent such short-term, predatory behavior. That's why Indian tribes assigned these exclusive rights — it was the best way to preserve animal species and provide for the future.

Say, doesn't *this* lost Indian wisdom bear repeating?

-- http://mises.org/daily, July 19, 2007

In Crittenton case, don't blame guns

Gun, NBA, mother and murder never add up to good news, and so it is in the case of former Washington Wizards player Javaris Crittenton, charged in the drive-by shooting murder of a mother of four. According to reports, the woman was not the intended target

Police contend he was aiming for revenge. His jewelry had been stolen, and he had to show how hard he was and put a cap in the dude, or whatever the lingo is nowadays.

It was not long ago that the NBA figured to have dodged a bullet with Crittenton.

His locker room standoff with Gilbert Arenas, after Arenas had displayed all manner of weaponry in front of his locker with a sign that said "pick one," wound up with both players suspended but tragedy avoided.

In that skirmish, which erupted over a card game, Crittenton reportedly threatened to shoot Arenas in his bad knee.

That incident, during the 2009-10 season, is too idiotic to contemplate, too beyond my daily life to comprehend and barely worthy of consideration except that the idiocy has escalated -- a woman is dead.

All of which might have been avoided if the NBA had focused on the real problem.

Instead, The Association focused on the guns.

The mistake we made then was making it about the guns. Even NBA commish David Stern, whose response in hindsight saved the league an ugly embarrassment at best and a body bag in one of his locker rooms at worst, said, "The possession of firearms by an NBA player in an NBA arena is a matter of the utmost concern to us."

See how we do that? The guns become the problem, allowing us to ignore the real issue. The NBA's problem was not guns in the locker rooms; it was the idiots.

Full disclosure: I am a strong supporter of the NRA. Not because I own a gun. I do not. Mine is a belief in the Second Amendment and the total unwillingness to give back any rights enumerated to me by the Constitution simply because nobody is willing to discuss the real issues. -- Jen Floyd Engel, msn.foxsports.com, Aug 29, 2011

Thoughts to ponder

Do not eat natural foods. I used to eat a lot of natural foods until I

learned that most people die of natural causes.

Gardening Rule: When weeding, the best way to make sure you are removing a weed and not a valuable plant is to pull on it. If it comes out of the ground easily, it is a valuable plant.

Give a person a fish and you feed them for a day; teach that person to use the Internet and they won't bother you for weeks.

If quitters never win and winners never quit, then why is it that you should "Quit while you're ahead?"

Whenever I feel blue, I start breathing again.

Why does a slight tax increase cost you \$200 and a substantial tax cut save you 30 cents?

Who started the Tea Party Movement?

By John Perna

The original Tea Party started in Boston, on December 16, 1773.

On December 16th, 2007; the anniversary of the Boston Tea Party, Americans "dumped the tea" again by donating over \$6.04 Million into the Ron Paul 2008 Presidential Campaign. This was largest one day political donation ever!

Everyone who uses the name "Tea Party" is an authentic continuation of that movement, as long as their ideals and goals are the same.

When our forefathers threw King George's tea into the harbor, King George did not form a Tea Party advisory council. Perhaps that is because he understood that our forefathers had a hidden agenda. They were not just trying to save money on taxes. They wanted to be free.

Would it be reasonable to believe that if King George had lowered the tea tax there would have never been an American Revolution? The Declaration of Independence did not even mention the tea tax unless one were to assume that it was included in the general reference to "imposing Taxes on us without our Consent".

Our founding fathers specifically listed a "long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism". How many of these same abuses and usurpations exist today? A few examples might be:

* "He has erected a multitude of New Offices, and sent hither swarms of Officers to harass our people, and eat out their substance".

- * He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution and unacknowledged by our laws".
- * "For depriving us in many cases of the benefits of Trial by Jury".

Thomas Jefferson who wrote the Declaration of Independence also admonished us that "The price of freedom is eternal vigilance."

The Boston Tea Party was illegal. Legal means condoned by government. Illegal means not condoned by government.

In the final analysis no tyranny will ever condone the effective defense of freedom.

Everything that Hitler did was legal. The Declaration of Independence was illegal.

Our Bill of Rights is replete with phrases such as "Congress shall make no law" and "shall not be infringed".

Government does not give us our rights. Our rights are inalienable, and are endowed by our Creator.

Nothing takes the place of the vigilance of the people. The battle for freedom is never won, and is never lost. The battle for freedom always continues. It is never too late, and it is never soon enough, to defend freedom.

No matter how enslaved we are, we always have hope. No matter how free we are we are never safe.

NOTHING EVER LIMITS THE GOVERNMENT, EXCEPT THE PEOPLE.

Any generation that fails to defend freedom will lose it.

The next generation will have to shed blood to gain it back.

Read the Declaration of Independence. Then read it again, and change a few words.

Change "British ministry" to "federal government".

Change "kings" to "tyrants".

Change "Great Britain" to "Washington".

Change "ministry and Parliament" to "federal government".

Change "throne" to "federal government".

Change "British guard" to "federal officer".

Change "Boston" to "Waco and Ruby Ridge."

"Please share an important new JFPO promotional video"

Since 1989 Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership has "walked point" in the battle against "gun control".

JPFO has gone where no other pro-gun rights organization has gone before. Only JPFO can truly get "in the face" of the liberal Jews who have been at the forefront of the insinuation of "gun control" into our lives. Why? It is simply ridiculous to label JPFO as "anti-Semitic".

We need to introduce JPFO to more Americans. And even more so if they are Jewish. JPFO now has a powerful short video on YouTube, describing briefly what the organization is all about.

All of you have friends, family, and acquaintances for whom an introduction to JPFO could prove both useful and educational. This is especially true if they are "fence sitters" on matters concerning firearms and the Second Amendment.

Many gun owners have lowered their guard of late. They believe that the landmark U.S. Supreme Court victories of *Heller vs. District of Columbia* and *McDonald vs. Chicago* once and for all validated, within our judicial system, the right to keep and bear arms. Not so.

New York remains unswervingly opposed to an armed citizenry. Chicago, under Obama's ex-Head Puppet Master, Rahm Emanuel, is obstructing the *McDonald* decision with every rotten trick in its gangland play book.

And we are only one Supreme Court Justice appointment away from an overturning of Heller and McDonald. Unlikely? Yes. But look at what is happening in America!

Look at how the Obama administration orchestrated the BATFE's disastrous "Fast and Furious" gun smuggling scam to Mexico. And now, even after being caught bloody handed, Eric Holder's Department of Justice

continues to actually laud the BATFE, and unbelievably promote the key guilty individuals. On top of this, he has now centralized and computerized gun registration mandates outside of any legal Congressional directive. Frankly, the battle to protect our unalienable right to self-defense has reached a darker and more sinister phase. Why? Because so much of the gun prohibition activity is now happening below the surface. And the anti-gun mainstream media remains silent, aiding and abetting what is, in many ways, literal treason against this nation.

It is time for millions of Americans to stand up and defend their G-d given rights against an increasingly gluttonous government and the robotic tyrannical bureaucracies that would strip away those rights.

As our late founder Aaron Zelman said, "If you do not defend your rights, then don't complain when you lose them."

Please take the time right now to watch this attention getting JPFO promo video. Pass it along to anyone you know who might be even remotely receptive.

For twenty one years now, JPFO has delivered the "intellectual ammunition" to defeat and destroy the lie that is "gun control". It is up to you to use this information! Act today!

And if you are not a JPFO member? Join us! You don't have to be Jewish to join. We have never asked about religious affiliation or belief ... and never will. To be a member you must simply cherish your freedom and that of your loved ones.

JPFO exists so that we will never have to face the awful day that we may have to resort to actually using our guns against a tyrannical government. JPFO offers the "prevention". The "cure" is horrific beyond words. If you will each do your part, we can win this battle without bloodshed. Can any of you honestly say that it is not worth the effort?

-- jpfo.org/filegen-a-m2/movieplay-jpfo-promo.htm Sep. 6, 2011 P.O. Box 270143 | Hartford, WI 53027 Phone (262) 673-9745

|--|

ISSN 1523-4657

www.njmilitia.org

walnor@keepandbeararms.com

Middlesex County, Art (732) 607-0833 Morris County, Bill (973) 361-3241 Johnson County, TX, Earl (817) 783-2375 Wake County, NC, Dave (919) 521-4147

Newsletter Subscription - Donation \$10.0	00
Cash or Blank Money Order Only	

Name			
Address			

City _____State ___Zip ___